

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS  
EASTERN DIVISION**

JERICO MATIAS CRUZ,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	Case No.:
	)	
v.	)	
	)	
CITY COLLEGES OF CHICAGO,	)	
JEFFREY SCHMITZ,	)	
SOMA DEY,	)	
GABE ESTILL,	)	
PAMELA MONACO,	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	

**PRO SE PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT**

NOW COMES *pro se* Plaintiff Jerico Matias Cruz files a complaint for violation of constitutional rights in particular with educational opportunities discrimination against Defendants City Colleges of Chicago et al. pursuant to Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, 20 U.S. Code Section 1400(c)(1), 42 U.S. Code Section 2000c, 42 U.S. Code Section 2000c-6(a)(2), 42 U.S. Code Section 2000c-6(b), 42 U.S. Code Section 2000c-8, and 42 U.S. Code Section 2000c-9, in support thereof, and states as follows:

**INTRODUCTION**

1. *Pro Se* Plaintiff Jerico Matias Cruz's physical address is 5135 N Keating Ave, Chicago, Illinois for more than twenty (20) years.
2. On or about June 2005, *pro se* Plaintiff Jerico Matias Cruz receives his high school diploma from Chicago Public Schools – Roald Amundsen High School, which is located

at 5110 N Damen Ave, Chicago, Illinois 60625.

3. On or about August 2005, *pro se* Plaintiff Jerico Matias Cruz attends Benedictine University to complete General Education courses.
4. On or about March 2006, United States Army recruiters visit Benedictine University during in-school job fair and recruits *pro se* Plaintiff Jerico Matias Cruz.
5. On or about August 2006, *pro se* Plaintiff Jerico Matias Cruz completes United States Army Basic Training Course in Fort Knox, Kentucky; and his first military occupational specialty (“MOS”) at the United States Army Transportation School in Fort Leonard Wood, Missouri.
6. On or about May 2007, *pro se* Plaintiff Jerico Matias Cruz transfers to Northeastern Illinois University.
7. On or about August 2007, *pro se* Plaintiff Jerico Matias Cruz starts taking classes at City Colleges of Chicago – Harry S. Truman College as non-degree seeking student and transfers the credit hours of each class back to Northeastern Illinois University.
8. On or about May 2009, *pro se* Plaintiff Jerico Matias Cruz completes his Bachelor of Arts in Economics at the Northeastern Illinois University.
9. On or about May 2009, *pro se* Plaintiff Jerico Matias Cruz is admitted to Master of Science in Policy Economics at the University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign.
10. On or about June 2009, *pro se* Plaintiff Jerico Matias Cruz completes his second military occupational specialty, psychological operations specialist, at the United States John F. Kennedy Special Warfare Center and School in Fort Bragg, North

Carolina.

11. On or about July 2009, *pro se* Plaintiff Jerico Matias Cruz has to delay his enrollment to graduate school due to military deployment to Operation Enduring Freedom – Afghanistan Campaign Medal, between July 2009 and June 2010.
12. On or about August 2010, *pro se* Plaintiff Jerico Matias Cruz returns to the University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign to start graduate school.
13. On or about August 2011, *pro se* Plaintiff Jerico Matias Cruz completes all requirements of 40 credit hours for Master of Science in Policy Economics at the University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign.
14. On or about May 2015, City Colleges of Chicago – Harry S. Truman College awards *pro se* Plaintiff Jerico Matias Cruz with an Associate Degree.
15. On or about January 2021, *pro se* Plaintiff Jerico Matias Cruz returns to City Colleges of Chicago – Harold Washington College and Kennedy-King College, to take remote undergraduates courses that are prerequisites to graduate school, engineering school, medical school, and/or other health professions, while using *pro se* Plaintiff Jerico Matias Cruz's Post 9-11 GI Bill or military education benefits.
16. On or about January 2021, *pro se* Plaintiff Jerico Matias Cruz takes a remote continuing education course, residential real estate broker pre-licensing course, at the City Colleges of Chicago – Kennedy-King College, while using *pro se* Plaintiff Jerico Matias Cruz's Post 9-11 GI Bill or military education benefits.
17. On or about July 2021, *pro se* Plaintiff Jerico Matias Cruz takes a remote continuing

education course, cybersecurity bootcamp: CompTIA Security+, at the City Colleges of Chicago – Kennedy-King College, while using *pro se* Plaintiff Jerico Matias Cruz's Post 9-11 GI Bill or military education benefits.

18. On or about August 2021, *pro se* Plaintiff Jerico Matias Cruz takes Engineering Physics II that is a prerequisite to graduate school, engineering school, medical school, and/or other health professions, while using *pro se* Plaintiff Jerico Matias Cruz's Post 9-11 GI Bill or military education benefits.
19. *Pro Se* Plaintiff Jerico Matias Cruz has sufficiently completed all necessary prerequisites for Engineering Physics II prior to Fall 2021 semester, such as Calculus & Analytic Geometry I, Calculus & Analytic Geometry II, and Engineering Physics I with Laboratory; *pro se* Plaintiff Jerico Matias Cruz has previously completed other higher level undergraduate and graduate Applied Mathematics courses.<sup>1</sup>

### **BACKGROUND**

20. On On or about August 31, 2021, *pro se* Plaintiff Jerico Matias Cruz's Engineering Physics II course begins and can be taken remotely without physically present or setting on foot at the Defendant City Colleges of Chicago – Wilbur Wright College Main Campus.
21. On or about September 14, 2021 at 12:52 PM CST, *pro se* Plaintiff Jerico Matias Cruz receives a confirmation email from United States Department of Veterans Affairs- Veterans Benefits Administration, about attending Physics 236 course beginning on

---

<sup>1</sup> See Exhibit F: Review *pro se* Plaintiff Jerico Matias Cruz's completed pre-requisites for Physics 236.

August 30, 2021 and ending on December 18, 202, which is submitted by CCC-Wilbur Wright College Veterans Affairs Certifying Official; Physics 236 is paid by *pro se* Plaintiff Jerico Matias Cruz's Post 9/11 GI Bill benefits.<sup>2</sup>

22. On or about September 22, 2021, *pro se* Plaintiff Jerico Matias Cruz sends an electronic mail ("Email") to Mrs. Sophie Levandoski, a director of City Colleges of Chicago – Wilbur Wright Colleges Disability Access Center, about his medical and legal situation and other unexpected circumstances.<sup>3</sup>
23. On or about September 22, 2021 at 6:02 PM CST, *pro se* Plaintiff Jerico Matias Cruz creates a ticket number 210922-000717 to unblock his email account.<sup>4</sup>
24. On or about September 29, 2021 at 6:05 PM CST, *pro se* Plaintiff Jerico Matias Cruz submits Electrostatics Laboratory report into Brightspace.
25. On or about October 3, 2021, *pro se* Plaintiff Jerico Matias Cruz is really behind the class materials and assignments, and has finally access to Cengage Online materials, such as e-book, WebAssign or assignments, and other student resources supplemental for Engineering Physics II course.<sup>5</sup>
26. On or about October 3, 2021 at 2:44 PM CST, *pro se* Plaintiff Jerico Matias Cruz submits Physics 236 Test No. 1 into Brightspace.
27. On or about October 12, 2021, *pro se* Plaintiff Jerico Matias Cruz receives the letter of

---

<sup>2</sup> See Exhibit G: Review U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs-Veterans Benefits Administration received by *pro se* Plaintiff Jerico Matias Cruz on September 14, 2021.

<sup>3</sup> See Exhibit B: Review Complainant Jerico Matias Cruz's email message to the Director of Disability Access Center of Defendant City Colleges of Chicago-Wilbur Wright College Main Campus.

<sup>4</sup> See Exhibit E: Review *pro se* Plaintiff Jerico Matias Cruz's email message to the Defendant City Colleges of Chicago's IT Help Desk.

<sup>5</sup> See Exhibit D: Review Cengage's order#2569856708486 receipt dated on October 3, 2021.

reasonable accommodation from Defendant City Colleges of Chicago -Wilbur Wright College Disability Access Center; this reasonable accommodation enumerates the academic accommodations, which have been approved by the Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973.

28. On or about October 12, 2021 at 4:01 PM CST, *pro se* Plaintiff Jerico Matias Cruz sends an electronic mail to Defendant Jeffrey Schmitz about the letter of reasonable accommodation, including the original letter of reasonable accommodation from Defendant City Colleges of Chicago-Wilbur Wright College Director, Sophie Levandoski.
29. On or about October 30, 2021 at 1:06 AM CST, *pro se* Plaintiff Jerico Matias Cruz submits Charges and Fields Virtual Laboratory report into Brightspace.
30. On or about October 30, 2021 at 1:14 AM CST, *pro se* Plaintiff Jerico Matias Cruz submits Series and Parallel Circuits Laboratory report into Brightspace.
31. On or about October 31, 2021 at 9:57 PM CST, *pro se* Plaintiff Jerico Matias Cruz submits Physics 236 Test No. 2 into Brightspace.
32. On or about November 24, 2021 at 7:12 PM CST, *pro se* Plaintiff Jerico Matias Cruz submits Physics 236 Test No. 3 into Brightspace.
33. On or about January 16, 2022, *pro se* Plaintiff Jerico Matias Cruz sends an electronic mail to Defendants City Colleges of Chicago et al. about the final grade appeal for Physics 236.<sup>6</sup>

---

<sup>6</sup> See Exhibit A: Review *pro se* Plaintiff Jerico Matias Cruz's email message attached with Final Grade Appeal form to Defendants City Colleges of Chicago et al.

34. On or about February 8, 2022, Defendant Jeffrey Schmitz signs Defendant City Colleges of Chicago's Final Grade Appeal form.
35. On or about February 8, 2022, Defendant Gabe Estill and *pro se* Plaintiff Jerico Matias Cruz miss the Zoom meeting together due to unexpected errand by *pro se* Plaintiff Jerico Matias Cruz to the United States Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit.<sup>7</sup>
36. On or about February 11, 2022, Defendant Gabe Estill signs Defendant City Colleges of Chicago's Final Grade Appeal form on behalf of Defendant Soma Dey.
37. On or about February 14, 2022, Defendant Soma Dey sends an electronic mail to *pro se* Plaintiff Jerico Matias Cruz about the reasons for signing Defendant City Colleges of Chicago's Final Grade Appeal form.
38. On or about March 1, 2022, *pro se* Plaintiff Jerico Matias Cruz receives the final grade appeal form from Defendant Pamela Monaco, a vice president of student and academic affairs of the City Colleges of Chicago – Wilbur Wright College; Defendant Pamela Monaco denies *pro se* Plaintiff Jerico Matias Cruz's final grade appeal for Engineering Physics II course.

#### **STANDARD OF REVIEW**

39. The United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois, Eastern Division operates under the United States Constitution, the United States Code, Code of Federal Regulations, Public Laws, the United States President's Executive Orders, the United States Supreme Court Rules, and Federal Rules of Evidence.

---

<sup>7</sup> See Exhibit C: Review *pro se* Plaintiff Jerico Matias Cruz's exchange email message with Defendant Gabe Estill.

40. Civil proceeding enacted upon the codified rules of Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
- See Clark and Moore, A New Federal Civil Procedure-I. The Background, 44 Yale L.J. 387, 391 (1935). (28 U.S. Code Section 723(b); 28 U.S. Code Section 723(c); 28 U.S. Code Section 1343; 28 U.S. Code Section 1367; 28 U.S. Code Section 2072)*
41. Civil proceedings also follow the codified rules of Local Rules of the United States District Court for the Northern District Court of Illinois.
42. The United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois, Eastern Division adjudicates prima facie evidence and material facts in accordance with *pro se* Plaintiff Jerico Matias Cruz's complaint for violation of constitutional rights in particular with educational opportunities discrimination. Defendants City Colleges of Chicago et al. shall be held accountable to answer *pro se* Plaintiff Jerico Matias Cruz's complaint for violation of constitutional rights in particular with educational opportunities discrimination after issuance of summon within twenty-one (21) days, excluding federal legal holidays, Saturdays and Sundays. Any responsive federal statutes or laws should be applied when the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois cross-examines prima facie evidence and material facts by following Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Federal Rules of Evidence, and Local Rules of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois, Eastern Division during Civil proceedings.
43. Pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Local Rules of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois, Eastern Division, *pro se* Plaintiff



Jerico Matias Cruz's complaint for violation of constitutional rights in particular with educational opportunities discrimination follows the codified rules of Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Local Rules of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois, Eastern Division when adjudicating the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois, Eastern Division's federal Civil cases, which include the federal Civil rights cases under 42 U.S. Code Sections 1981, 1983, and 1988. However, this case involves educational opportunities discrimination pursuant to 20 U.S. Code Section 1400(c)(1), 42 U.S. Code Section 2000c, 42 U.S. Code Section 2000c-6(a)(2), 42 U.S. Code Section 2000c-6(b), 42 U.S. Code Section 2000c-8, and 42 U.S. Code Section 2000c-9.

44. *Pro Se* Plaintiff Jerico Matias Cruz's complaint for violation of constitutional rights in particular with educational opportunities discrimination is filed on proper venue.
45. *Pro Se* Plaintiff Jerico Matias Cruz's complaint for violation of constitutional rights in particular with educational opportunities discrimination is lawfully dealt with subject matter jurisdiction and personal jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S. Code Sections 1331 and 1343, 42 U.S. Code Section 2000e-5(f)(3); for 42 U.S. Code Sections 1981 and 1983 by 42 U.S. Code Section 1988; for the A.D.E.A. By 42 U.S. Code Section 121171; and for Rehabilitation Act, 29 U.S. Code Section 791.
46. *Pro Se* Plaintiff Jerico Matias Cruz's complaint for violation of constitutional rights in particular with educational opportunities discrimination is constructed simply and conservatively and guided by Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Local Rules of the

United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois.

47. *Pro Se* Plaintiff Jerico Matias Cruz's complaint for violation of constitutional rights in particular with educational opportunities discrimination enumerates a list of material facts that have been photographed, photocopied, audio recorded, and/or video recorded, and such that material facts and prima facie evidence from *pro se* Plaintiff Jerico Matias Cruz's complaint's Exhibits; and other material facts and prima facie evidence related to *pro se* Plaintiff Jerico Matias Cruz's complaint for violation of constitutional rights in particular with educational opportunities discrimination.
48. Honorable Court allows to grant *pro se* Plaintiff Jerico Matias Cruz to use the power of subpoena and expert witness only if necessary during the cross-examination of prima facie evidence, material facts, and testimonies presented before the court.
49. *Pro Se* Plaintiff Jerico Matias Cruz and Defendants City Colleges of Chicago et al. have an authority to answer to discovery, interrogatories, and/or depositions during the discovery at a later date and time through oral and/or written motions and/or petitions.
50. Any additional material facts and prima facie evidence attached to *pro se* Plaintiff Jerico Matias Cruz's complaint for violation of constitutional rights in particular with educational opportunities discrimination are considered Exhibits and/or Affidavits that can be used during cross-examination.
51. Previous adjudicated federal civil cases relevant to *pro se* Plaintiff Jerico Matias Cruz's complaint for violation of constitutional rights in particular with educational

opportunities discrimination could be cited and/or mentioned on written statement and/or oral argument for cross-examination of prima facie evidence and material facts with respect to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Local Rules of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois.

52. *Pro Se* Plaintiff Jerico Matias Cruz and Defendants City Colleges of Chicago et al. have a liberty to present previous federal Civil cases within written statements and/or oral arguments without violating the codified rules of Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Local Rules of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois.

53. Any violation of Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Local Rules of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois cannot be waived and be granted by the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois, judge, presiding, and therefore, *pro se* Plaintiff Jerico Matias Cruz's complaint for violation of constitutional rights in particular with educational opportunities discrimination is subject to dismissal ditto to Defendants City Colleges of Chicago et al.

### **ARGUMENT**

54. Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 protects higher education students who are qualified disabled and/or have hidden disabilities that are not physically or mentally visible to naked eyes and/or who receive federal financial assistance. *Pro Se* Plaintiff Jerico Matias Cruz utilizes his Post 9/11 GI Bill or military benefits to fund Physics 236 course during Fall 2021 semester. In addition, the United States Department of Education Office of Civil Rights defines Section 504 of the

Rehabilitation Act of 1973<sup>8</sup> for students who qualify to be disabled and/or have hidden disabilities, and states as follows:

“No otherwise qualified individual with a disability in the United States...shall, solely by reason of her or his disability, be excluded from the participation in, be denied the benefits of, or be subjected to discrimination under any program or activity receiving Federal financial assistance....” Rehab. Act 1973 Section 504.

55. Section 1400(c)(1) of the Title 20 is known as the Individuals with Disabilities

Education Act. Defendants City Colleges of Chicago et al. fail to review the letter of reasonable accommodation from Wilbur Wright College Disability Access Center. By law, Defendants City Colleges of Chicago et al. fail to apply and implement the actual definition of the word “disability” under Section 1400(c)(1) of Title 20 and approved reasonable accommodations under Section 504 of Rehabilitation Act of 1973.

“Congress find the following: Disability is a natural part of the human experience and in no way diminishes the right of individuals to participate in or contribute to society. Improving educational results for children with disabilities is an essential element of our national policy of ensuring equality of opportunity, full participation, independent living, and economic self-sufficiency for individuals with disabilities.” 20 U.S. Code Section 1400(c)(1).

56. Defendant City Colleges of Chicago falls under Section 2000c of Title 42. Defendant City Colleges of Chicago is a public community college, which is fully funded by the State of Illinois.

“‘Public school’ means any elementary or secondary educational

---

<sup>8</sup> See Exhibit H: Review the United States Department of Education Office of Civil Rights' legal definition and application of Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973.

institution, and 'public college' means any institution of higher education or any technical or vocational school above the secondary school level, provided that such public school or public college is operated by a State, subdivision of a State, or governmental agency within a State, or operated wholly or predominantly from or through the use of governmental funds or property, or funds or property derived from a governmental source." 42 U.S. Code Section 2000c.

57. Defendants Pamela Monaco, Gabe Estill, Soma Dey, and Jeffrey Schmitz negligently and unlawfully discriminate *pro se* Plaintiff Jerico Matias Cruz at Defendant City Colleges of Chicago based on race, color, national origin, and disability under Section 2000c-6(a)(2) of Title 42. Defendant Jeffrey Schmitz offers tutoring but fails to implement the reasonable accommodations for Physics 236, such as Cengage WebAssign or assignments, exams, quizzes, and laboratory reports approved by Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973. Defendant City Colleges of Chicago-Wilbur Wright College Disability Access Center Director, Sophie Levandoski's letter of reasonable accommodation is received on October 12, 2021. Defendant Jeffrey Schmitz's Physics 236 Test No. 1's due date is October 3, 2021. *Pro Se* Plaintiff Jerico Matias Cruz submits Physics 236 Test No. 1 in a timely manner into Brightspace. Defendant Soma Dey fails to properly review all material facts and prima facie evidence, such as Zoom meeting videos, letter of reasonable accommodation, and other *pro se* Plaintiff Jerico Matias Cruz's records on Brightspace. Defendant Soma Dey is not aware of *pro se* Plaintiff Jerico Matias Cruz's unexpected visit to the United States of Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit, which is supposedly a Zoom

meeting with Defendant Gabe Estill on February 8, 2021. Defendant Pamela Monaco fails to properly review the material facts and prima facie evidence and only states that no evidence of inappropriate final grade was assigned as a “result of prejudice, caprice, or other improper conditions”. Defendant Pamela Monaco fails to properly review the unexpected legal and medical circumstance prior to the approval of the reasonable accommodations on October 12, 2021. *Pro Se* Plaintiff Jerico Matias Cruz has no access to Cengage materials, including assignments and other supplemental materials for Physics 236 course, since August 31, 2021.

“When the Attorney General receives a complaint in writing signed by an individual, or his parent, to the effect that he has been denied admission to or not permitted to continue in attendance at a public college by reason of race, color, religion, sex or national origin...” 42 U.S. Code Section 2000c-6(a)(2).

58. Defendants City Colleges of Chicago et al., fails to account the unexpected legal and medical circumstances of *pro se* Plaintiff Jerico Matias Cruz. On September 22, 2021, *pro se* Plaintiff Jerico Matias Cruz requests a reasonable accommodation with Defendant City Colleges of Chicago-Wilbur Wright College Disability Access Center Director, Ms. Sophie Levandoski. On or about October 12, 2021, Director Levandoski approves the reasonable accommodation under Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973. *Pro Se* Plaintiff Jerico Matias Cruz is self-represented litigant and without the help of a legal expert or a licensed attorney during the federal civil proceeding. However, this federal civil proceeding can jeopardize *pro se* Plaintiff Jerico Matias Cruz's personal safety, employment, and/or socioeconomic status due to the material

facts and prima facie evidence that *pro se* Plaintiff Jerico Matias Cruz's plan for future profession in high-skilled labor will be delayed or postponed due to discriminatory behaviors by Defendants City Colleges of Chicago et al. for improperly reviewing the letter of reasonable accommodations, which are approved by Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, during the final grade appeal process.

“The Attorney General may deem a person or persons unable to initiate and maintain appropriate legal proceedings within the meaning of subsection (a) of this section when such person or persons are unable, either directly or through other interested persons or organizations, to bear the expense of the litigation or to obtain effective legal representation; or whenever he is satisfied that the institution of such litigation would jeopardize the personal safety, employment, or economic standing of such person or persons, their families, or their property..” 42 U.S. Code Section 2000c-6(b).

59. *Pro Se* Plaintiff Jerico Matias Cruz's complaint for violation of constitutional rights in particular with educational opportunities discrimination in public education, which gives him right to pursue this federal civil proceeding with the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois, Eastern Division under Section 2000c-8 of Title 42.

“Nothing in this subchapter shall affect adversely the right of any person to sue for or obtain relief in any court against discrimination in public education..” 42 U.S. Code Section 2000c-8.

60. Section 2000c-9 of Title 42 allows *pro se* Plaintiff Jerico Matias Cruz to pursue this complaint for violations of constitutional rights in particular with educational opportunities discrimination under Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973.

Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 enumerates the approved reasonable accommodation in public education settings, including the unexpected legal and medical circumstances of *pro se* Plaintiff Jerico Matias Cruz between August 31, 2021 and October 12, 2021 under 20 U.S. Code Section 1400(c)(1), as the legal definition of people with disabilities in public education, also known as Individuals with Disabilities Education Act.

“Nothing in this subchapter shall prohibit classification and assignment for reasons other than race, color, religion, sex or national origin..” 42 U.S. Code Section 2000c-9.

### **CONCLUSION**

WHEREFORE, *pro se* Plaintiff Jerico Matias Cruz prays to Honorable Court to grant the relief:

- (1) Issue an order to reverse the decision of final grade appeal of Defendants City Colleges of Chicago et al.;
- (2) Issue an order to donate all \$25,000,000.00 monetary damages of Defendant City Colleges of Chicago and all \$20,000,000.00 monetary damages of remaining individual Defendant to non-for-profit organizations in the city of Chicago, which have been carefully hand-picked by *pro se* Plaintiff Jerico Matias Cruz upon completion of adjudicating this case;
- (3) Issue an order for punitive damages against the individual Defendant;
- (4) Issue an order for a permanent injunction against the individual Defendant upon completion of adjudicating this case;



(5) Issue an order for such declaratory, or other relief as may be appropriate, including attorney's fees and reasonable expenses as authorized by 42 U.S. Code Section 1988 only if *pro se* Plaintiff Jerico Matias Cruz allows to be represented by a third-party law firm or independent attorney for this specific case.

Dated: April 8, 2022

Respectfully Submitted by,

/s/ Jerico Matias Cruz

Jerico Matias Cruz

5135 N Keating Ave

Chicago, Illinois 60630

theconsultantoo0oo@gmail.com or

j-cruz7@neiu.edu

847-906-3123

*Pro Se Litigant*